

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,640	09/21/2006	Marc Lemaire	RN02172G1	2919
27786 7590 01/29/2007 RHODIA INC. 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512		EXAMINER .		
			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	Y MODE
31 DAYS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/539,640	LEMAIRE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	eptember 2006.				
•	•	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-93</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>65-93</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	ot(s)	_				
- =	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:					

-

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status

1. Claims 65-93 are pending in the application.

Lack of Unity

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- **Group 1**. Claims 65-68 and 74, drawn to a diphosphine in racemic form or in chiral form and its preparation, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.
- **Group 2**. Claims 69-73, drawn to a diphosphine in dioxide form, in racemic form or in chiral form and its preparation, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.
- **Group 3**. Claims 75 and 76, drawn to a process for preparing the diphosphine, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.
- **Group 4**. Claim 77 drawn to a process for preparing the diphosphine, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.
- **Group 5**. Claim 78, drawn to a process for preparing the diphosphine, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.
- **Group 6**. Claim 79, drawn to a process for preparing the diphosphine, classified in class 564, subclass 420+.

Art Unit: 1621

Group 7. Claims 80-90, drawn to a polymer and its preparation, classified in class 525, subclass 440+.

Group 8. Claims 91-93, drawn to a transition metal complex, classified in class 568, subclass 8+.

The inventions listed as Group 1 - Group 8 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group 1 is drawn to a diphosphine in racemic form or in chiral form and its preparation while Group 2 is drawn to different diphosphine in dioxide form and its preparation. Groups 3-6 are drawn to different processes for making different diphosphine compounds while Group 7 is drawn to a polymer and its preparation and Group 8 is drawn to a transition metal complex. These eight groups of invention are different from each other. Therefore, there is no special technical feature for the compounds, the processes of making these compounds or the different fields of application of the compounds. Also there is no unity of invention.

There is no special technical feature, which unites the groups. But even if there were a special technical feature there must be unity of invention also. Under 37 CFR 1.475

(a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a

Application/Control Number: 10/539,640

Art Unit: 1621

technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

The above groups 1-8 together do not meet the requirement of unity of invention as given above in (1) -(5).

A telephone call was made to Jean-Louis Seugnet on 1/22/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/539,640

Art Unit: 1621

571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am

Page 5

to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1621

Thurman Page,

Supervisory Patent Examiner.

Le BA

Technology Center 1600